

Sinclair Broadcasting's decision to allow their stations to air an anti-Kerry documentary days before the election is a clear example of their right to let the people decide how issues affect how a person votes.

Sinclair uses the public airwaves free of charge, and is obligated by law to serve the public interest. We get more of what's good for the public when political committees do not decide what we need for our democracy. It's more important that we see real people from our own communities having access to all information available about candidates and about issues that matter in the candidates past.

Sinclair's actions show why we need not put sanctions on media ownership rules, and not screw with them. They show why the license renewal process should not be used as a censorship knife to decide political issues promulgated by both parties. I believe that we, the public can decide for ourselves what is believable or not. Thank you.